

**UVa CHARGE Tournament of Ideas
Proposal Rubric**

Preliminary Criteria (to be completed prior to the formal review of proposals):

- ___ Proposal received by 11:59 PM, October 16, 2014.
- ___ Proposal is in PDF format.
- ___ Proposal includes cover sheet with title, name, and abstract.

If a proposal does not meet all the above criteria, it will be disqualified from the proposal review process.

**UVa CHARGE Tournament of Ideas
Proposal Rubric**

Rubric for proposal content:

Criterion	Missing/Unacceptable (0 pts)	Developing (1-3 pts)	Accomplished (4-7 pts)	Exemplary (8-10 pts)
The Problem: <i>What problem/issue does the proposal address as it relates to dual careers?</i>	Statement of the problem is omitted or inappropriate.	Although an issue is identified, the statement fails to establish its relevance to dual careers.	Identifies a relevant dual career issue, but it is either too general or vague.	Clearly articulates a specific, significant problem to dual careers.
General Context: <i>How is this a problem for universities or women faculty in general?</i>	The significance of this problem to the broader field is not identified or supported.	Argument is poorly formed, ambiguous, or not logically connected to the description of the problem identified.	Context is established and some supporting statements from the literature are provided.	Context is established in a clear and compelling way and ample supporting statements from the literature are provided.
UVa Context: <i>How is this a problem at UVa in particular?</i>	The significance of this problem to the UVa community was not identified or supported.	Argument is poorly formed, ambiguous, or not logically connected to the description of the problem identified.	Context is established and some supporting statements from the literature are present.	Context is established in a clear and compelling way and ample supporting statements from the literature are provided.
The Solution: <i>How well is the proposed solution explained?</i>	The proposed solution is vague and poorly outlined or inappropriate.	The proposed solution is identified but little to no explanation is provided.	The proposed solution is identified and adequately explained.	The proposed solution is identified its explanation is thorough and clear.
Relevance of Solution: <i>How well does the proposed solution address the problem identified?</i>	The connection between the proposed solution and the problem was not stated.	Significance of proposed solution to the problem is stated but not well explained. No evidence provided.	Significance of proposed solution to the problem is stated and well explained, but no evidence is provided.	Proposed solution is clearly linked to the stated problem and well explained, and supporting evidence is provided.
Information Sources: <i>How well are statements supported by sources in the proposal?</i>	Sources for statements are absent or lack validity. No reference list.	Citation in text OR reference list is lacking or non-existent.	Sufficient sources for statements and most sources were valid. Sources are mostly documented appropriately and/or reference list is mostly complete.	Plentiful relevant information is provided and sources were valid. Arguments were well supported and well cited. Reference list is complete and accurate.